

# MEETING MINUTES

## ESKOM WESTRAND STRENGTHENING PHASE II PROJECT

<b>Date:</b>	Wednesday 7 August 2019		<b>Time:</b>	10h00 – 11h00	
<b>Venue:</b>	Offices of DEA				
<b>Attendees:</b>					
Alfred Ayres (Resolute)	AA	Charlotte Cilliers (Resolute)	CC	Thulisile Nyalunga (DEA)	TN
Fiona Grimett (DEA: IEA)	FG	Zesipho Makhosayafana (DEA)	ZM		
<b>Abbreviations referred to in minutes:</b>					
N/A					

### 1. \*\*WELCOME & OPENING\*\*

TN welcomed everybody and then handed the meeting over to CC from Resolute Environmental Solutions (Pty) Ltd to lead the meeting.

### 2. \*\*APOLOGIES\*\*

Lindiwe Mbhele, Senior Advisor from Eskom could unfortunately not make the meeting.

### 3. \*\*MATTERS ARISING\*\*

Topic	Discussion/Decision
3.1. Project to date	CC discussed the project to date. Previous application was submitted, and a refusal letter received from the Department. Project scope has remained unchanged and CC proceeded to discuss the status quo.
3.2. Present Situation/ <i>Status quo</i>	CC confirmed that the following actions were completed on the application: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The 'first phase' public participation (site notices, newspaper notices, notifications to relevant authorities and possible I&amp;APs) as per the NEMA Regulations was completed;</li> <li>• The application form and draft Scoping Report was circulated to all registered I&amp;APs;</li> <li>• DEA acknowledge the submission of the application form and provided comments on the draft Scoping Report;</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The comments were included into the final Scoping Report and submitted to DEA whereas DEA refused the final Scoping Report.</li> <li>Resolute notified all registered I&amp;APs of the refusal and the intension to resubmit the application.</li> </ul>
3.3. Way-forward	<p>Resolute confirmed their understanding of the way forward regarding the resubmission of the application:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since the 'first phase' public participation was completed as part of the original application, Resolute will proceed to notify the I&amp;APs on the resubmission and circulate the draft Scoping Report once again.</li> <li>After finalisation of the 30 days circulation of the draft Scoping Report, Resolute will submit the final Scoping Report with comments from I&amp;APs and DEA included.</li> <li>Thereafter, the application process will proceed as per the NEMA Regulations.</li> <li>The above process was confirmed by the DEA representatives. FG confirmed that the draft Scoping Report should be circulated to all I&amp;APs as well as the DEA. TN also again requested that the Biodiversity department at the DEA be included as I&amp;APs as previously done.</li> </ul>
3.4. SIP project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AA confirmed that this is in fact a SIPs project and requested that where possible any timeframes should be shortened, owing to the importance of the infrastructure to be build. A copy of the SIP confirmation letter was provided to the DEA.</li> <li>FG did however indicate that we would need to get clarity if this was in fact a SIP project. FG would confirm to all what the requirements are. **Side note: Confirmation received that this is a SIP project from FG after the meeting.</li> <li>FG requested that the cover letter and all correspondence clearly indicate this to be a SIP project.</li> </ul>
3.5.	<p>AA enquired whether the previously submitted applications/files could be returned as 90% of the new submission would be the same. This was from a cost and environmental saving point of view. FG explained the department would require at least one copy for their records. Agreed to leave as is and rather reprint.</p>
3.6.	<p>CC requested if at all possible that ZM be allocated as the project official since ZM has this specific project background. TN indicated that we should request it on the cover letter of the resubmitted application.</p>

#### 4. CLOSURE

AA thanked everybody for their time and the meeting was closed.